IS THE JUDGE REQUIRED TO DISQUALIFY?

| DISQUALIFICATION SCENARIO | YES | NO | IT DEPENDS | AUTHORITY |
|---------------------------|-----|----|---------------|-----------|
|---------------------------|-----|----|---------------|-----------|

| Prior Involvement as Counsel or Judge | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Judge is on a three-judge panel in a capital case and previously issued a blanket recusal order involving the assistant prosecutor on the case. | х | | Burge, 2014-Ohio-1458 |
| Judge prosecuted the defendant in an earlier unrelated criminal proceeding. | | х | Jud.Cond.R. 2.11(A)(7)(b); Batchelor, 2013-Ohio-2626; Stevenson, 2014-Ohio-3176; Robinson, 2022-Ohio-4490. |
| Judge, while elected prosecutor, gave law enforcement charging advice concerning defendant. | х | | Kuhn, 2023-Ohio-4882 |
| Judge prosecuted the defendant, case now before the judge on a motion for post-conviction relief. | х | | Jud.Cond.R. 2.11(A)(2) |
| Judge was an assistant prosecutor at the time of indictment but was not personally involved in the case. | | х | Gall, 2013-Ohio-1319 |
| Judge is likely to be a material witness in the case. | х | | Hedric, 2009-Ohio-7208 |
| While judge was in private practice, a law partner handled the matter. | х | | Jud.Cond.R. 2.11(A)(7)(a) |

| DISQUALIFICATION SCENARIO | YES | NO | IT DEPENDS | AUTHORITY |
|--|-----|----|---------------|--|
| Judge is former city council member who participated personally and substantially on the matter while on council. | х | | | Jud.Cond.R. 2.11(A)(7)(b) |
| Judge represented one of the parties while in private practice on an unrelated matter. | | х | | Serrott, 2012-Ohio-6340; Breaux, 2019-Ohio-5454; Rosebrook, 2020-Ohio-5360; Ballard, 2022-Ohio-775. |
| Judge presides over "family drug court" and "neglect and dependency" cases involving the same juvenile. Parents of the juvenile alleged bias based on extrajudicial information learned while presiding in drug court. | | х | | Blanchard, 2017-Ohio-5543 |
| Familiarity with Counsel or Parties | | | | |
| Judge has strong ties to a person involved in the case. | х | | | Sage, 2011-Ohio-7082; Disciplinary Counsel v. Oldfield, 2014-Ohio-2963; Padden, 2018-Ohio-5420 |
| The court's funding authority is a party. | | х | | Watson (1997), 81 Ohio St.3d 1207 |
| A court employee is a party. | | х | | Lotz, 2002-Ohio-7480; Bennett, 2019-Ohio-2017 |
| Counsel is the judge's former law partner from six months ago. | х | | | Vercillo, 2013-Ohio-5763; Ward, 2002-Ohio-7467 |

| DISQUALIFICATION SCENARIO | YES | NO | IT DEPENDS | AUTHORITY |
|--|-----|----|---------------|--|
| | | | DEI ENDS | |
| Counsel is the judge's former law partner from 20 years ago. | | х | | Vercillo, 2013-Ohio-5763; Ward, 2002-Ohio-7467 |
| Counsel is a local lawyer. | | х | | O'Donnell, 2013-Ohio-5762; Schmidt, 2021-Ohio-3571; Kate, 2019-Ohio-4449 |
| Judge was a victim of the defendant in a crime (threats against public officials) that occurred over 20 years ago. | | х | | Deweese, 2017-Ohio-9421 |
| Counsel represents the judge in the judge's personal or official capacity in another matter. | х | | | Badger, 47 Ohio St.3d 604 (1989) |
| Political / Campaign Activity | | | | |
| Case involves a local officeholder and former party chair with personal connections to many local judges. | х | | | Corrigan, 2005-Ohio-7153; Celebrezze, 2004-Ohio-7360; but see Gallagher, 2018-Ohio-5428. |
| A member of the board of elections is a party in a proceeding. | | х | | Villanueva, 74 Ohio St.3d 1277 (1995) |
| Opposing law firm made contribution to judge's campaign committee. | | х | | Bartolotta, 2016-Ohio-8585; Breaux, 2017-Ohio-7374 |
| Judge's campaign opponent is counsel in the case. | | х | | Floyd, 2012-Ohio-6353; Hurley, 2014-Ohio-5874; Burt, 2015-Ohio-5670 |

| DISQUALIFICATION SCENARIO | YES | NO | IT DEPENDS | AUTHORITY |
|---|-----|----|---------------|---|
| Counsel is on the judge's campaign committee. | | | x | Advisory Opinion 2014-1 |
| Judge received a monetary campaign contribution from counsel for a party. | | х | | Wallace, 2019-Ohio-5452 |
| Judge's decade old campaign statements vaguely referring to his former opponent's handling of a capital case, factually similar to the case at bar, warranted his disqualification to avoid the appearance of bias. | х | | | Cottrill, 2022-Ohio-4800 |
| Family and Friends | | | | |
| Judge's spouse is the county prosecutor, who had no personal involvement in the case. | | х | | Bates, 2012-Ohio-6342; Carr, 2004-Ohio-7357 |
| Counsel is a friend of the judge. | | х | | Burt, 2013-Ohio-5898; Wallace, 2019-Ohio-5452 |
| The defendant is the judge's daily workout partner. | х | | | Rastatter, 2009-Ohio-7205 |
| The judge has personal and professional connections to a key witness in the case. | | х | | Leuthold, 2023-Ohio-4775 |

| DISQUALIFICATION SCENARIO | YES | NO | IT DEPENDS | AUTHORITY |
|--|-----|----|---------------|--|
| Judge's family member has an economic interest in the proceeding. | х | | | Jud.Cond.R. 2.11(A)(3) |
| Judge married to police officer employed by arresting agency of defendant. | | х | | Farmer, 2014-Ohio-2046; Advisory Opinion 89-19 |
| Judge became social friends with political opponent of criminal defendant after conviction that was alleged as politically motivation. | х | | | Crawford, 2017-Ohio-9428 |
| Personal Knowledge or Bias | | | | |
| Judge has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer. | х | | | Jud.Cond.R. 2.11(A)(1) |
| Judge has expressed dissatisfaction with a lawyer's timewasting tactics. | | х | | Holbrook, 2013-Ohio-5863; Harwood, 2013-Ohio-5256; Corrigan, 2004-Ohio-7354; Swenski, 2014-Ohio-3299; Russo, 2021-Ohio-1246. |
| Judge has personal knowledge of the facts in dispute. | х | | | Jud.Cond.R. 2.11(A)(1) |
| During case, the judge's actions or words would cause a reasonable person to believe there is a hostile feeling or spirit of ill will. | х | | | Sheward, 2013-Ohio-3643 |

| DISQUALIFICATION SCENARIO | YES | NO | IT DEPENDS | AUTHORITY |
|---|-----|----|---------------|--|
| Comments made by judge during sentencing suggesting defendant's parents were "gang members" and that defendant was responsible for ruining the lives of heroin users. | х | | | Sutula, 2016-Ohio-8599 |
| Judge made public comments on a radio show about an unrelated case involving a child victim prior to sentencing a defendant in a child victim case. | | | х | Ghiz, 2016-Ohio-8586; Jud.Cond.R. 2.11(A)(5) |
| Judge's unnecessary and isolated comments about counsel's credibility and lack of professionalism; threatening contempt. | | х | | Yarbrough, 2019-Ohio-4450; Stucki, 2019-Ohio-4534 |
| Speculation that the judge may be called as witness. | | х | | Kelbley, 2019-Ohio-5450 |
| Judge presides over two civil cases arising from a criminal case he previously presided. | | х | | Finnegan, 2019-Ohio-4533 |
| Counsel for party briefly represented judge's ex-husband during divorce proceedings. | | х | | Ghiz, 2019-Ohio-3351 |
| Judge is allegedly Facebook "friends" with several persons related to defendant's prior criminal case. | | х | | Muench-McElfresh, 2019-Ohio-4451; Kerenyi, 2020-Ohio-1082. See also Adv. Op. 2010-07. |

| DISQUALIFICATION SCENARIO | YES | NO | IT DEPENDS | AUTHORITY | | |
|--|-----|----|---------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| During the pendency of a matter, the defendant allegedly threatened to kill the judge. | | | х | Binette, 2021-Ohio-1753 | | |
| Judge in a capital murder case referred to patients as "victims", referred to defendant's actions as "murder", and sarcastically referred to defendant as "the killer" in chambers; was quoted in press regarding pending decisions and his opinions about defense's trial strategy. | | x | | Holbrook, 2022-Ohio-2141 | | |
| Judge excluded certain counsel from hearings, spoke to counsel in a rude tone, and made sarcastic comments. | х | | | Clark, 2023-Ohio-4774 | | |
| Judge who was the victim of a past crime committed by the defendant in an underlying case is "otherwise disqualified" under R.C. 2701.03 to avoid the appearance of impropriety. | х | | | Leuthold, 2024-Ohio-6133 | | |
| Judge's comment on the record that counsel had asserted "blatant lies" in an appellate-court filing created an appearance of impropriety. | х | | | Gallagher, 2024-Ohio-6136 | | |
| Conflicts | | | | | | |
| A party filed a lawsuit against the judge in state or federal court. | | х | | Pokorny, 2013-Ohio-915; Mattingly, 2014-Ohio-3065; Saffold, 2018-Ohio-5258; Schooley, 2021-Ohio-3568; Giulitto, 2022-Ohio-749 | | |

| DISQUALIFICATION SCENARIO | YES | NO | IT DEPENDS | AUTHORITY |
|---|-----|----|---------------|--|
| | | х | | |
| Judge was formerly an adjunct professor at a law school that is a party in the case. | | | | Froelich, 2015-Ohio-3423 |
| Judge previously recused himself/herself in an unrelated matter involving the same party. | | х | | Celebrezze, 2012-Ohio-6304, VonAllman, 2016-Ohio-8589; Goslee, 2018-Ohio-5422; Leuthold, 2018-Ohio-5426; Serrott, 2022-Ohio-3203; Forchione, 2011-Ohio-7077; Inderlied, 2015-Ohio-5676 |
| Judge is a party in a federal lawsuit defended by same firm involved in underlying appellate case. | х | | | O'Toole, 2017-Ohio-7053 |
| Grievances Filed / Disciplinary Case | | | | |
| A party filed a grievance against the judge with the disciplinary authorities. | | х | | Celebrezze, 2012-Ohio-6304 |
| Judge filed a grievance against counsel with the disciplinary authorities. | | | х | Lynch, 2013-Ohio-910; Ghiz, 2022-Ohio-4585; cf. OSBA v. Evans, 2013-Ohio-4992 |
| Judge is likely to be an adverse witness in counsel's disciplinary case. | | | х | Lynch, 2013-Ohio-910; Maschari, 1999-Ohio-8 |
| Ex Parte Communications | | | | |
| Judge had an "academic" ex parte conversation with one lawyer regarding general issues in the case. | х | | | Sheward, 2012-Ohio-6289 |
| Ex parte communication via staff requesting counsel to prepare entry. | | | х | Spitler, 2014-Ohio-5875; Disciplinary Counsel v. Stuard, 2009-Ohio-261 |

| DISQUALIFICATION SCENARIO | YES | NO | IT DEPENDS | AUTHORITY |
|--|-----|----|---------------|--|
| Ex parte communication with lawyer who was a regular member of judge's carpool. | | x | | Gall, 2016-Ohio-8602 |
| Going forward with a hearing in the absence of a party. | | х | | McMonagle, 2020-Ohio-4666 |
| Judge had access to the police report that is included in the case file. | | х | | Bonfiglio, 2021-Ohio-4669 |
| Miscellaneous | | | | |
| Judge presiding over trial and post-conviction proceedings of defendant. | | х | | Nastoff, 2012-Ohio-6339; Callahan, 2014-Ohio-3175 |
| Judge caused unreasonable delay in the case and characterized the state's position to pursue the death penalty in the case as "silly" and a "waste of time." | | х | | Collier -Williams, 2017-Ohio-5718; Yarbrough, 2020- Ohio-4439 |
| Judge failed to adequately explain or clarify her conduct after a request from the Court; allegation that judge entrusted judicial functions to staff. | х | | | Saffold, 2021-Ohio-114 |
| COVID-19; if a judge cannot prove that he or she has taken steps to protect the safety of individuals in the courtroom, the judge may be disqualified. | х | | | Fleegle, 2020-Ohio-5636; Carr, 2020-Ohio-2868 |

IS THE JUDGE REQUIRED TO DISQUALIFY HIMSELF OR HERSELF? IT YES **DISQUALIFICATION SCENARIO** NO **AUTHORITY DEPENDS** X Administrative judge assigned case to herself despite requirement to randomly assign cases was disqualified Celebrezze, 2023-Ohio-4383 to avoid the appearance of impropriety. X An objective observer would reasonably conclude that the judge had already determined that a party would be Ruehlman, 2024-Ohio-1306 guilty of contempt regardless of evidence adduced at a

X

future contempt hearing.

the conflict of interest has abated.

Jud.Cond.R. 2.11 does not prohibit a judge who recused from a case from returning to preside over the case once

Hejmanowski, 2024-Ohio-4477